

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILI	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/975,556	5,556 10/10/2001		J.J. Garcia-Luna-Aceves	UC2000-355-2	UC2000-355-2 7482	
8156	7590	12/22/2005		EXAMINER		
JOHN P. O' O'BANION		YIIP	WILSON, ROBERT W			
400 CAPITO			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SACRAME	NTO, CA	95814	2661			

DATE MAILED: 12/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/975,556	GARCIA-LUNA-ACEVES ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Robert W. Wilson	2661				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOWN THE MAILING DOWN THE STATE OF THE MAILING DOWN THE STATE OF THE MAILING DOWN THE STATE OF THE MAILING DOWN THE MAILING THE MAILI	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONED	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 O	<u>ctober 2005</u> .					
· <u> </u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
 4) ⊠ Claim(s) 3-60 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 3-60 is/are rejected. 						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 10 October 2001 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	atont reprieduon (1 10-102)				

Application/Control Number: 09/975,556

Art Unit: 2661

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

2. Claims 3-60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Referring to claims 3, 18, 37, & 47; these claims appear to perform process on a computer via method which implements a mathematical formula in the abstract. A possible tangible result of determining loop-free multipath routes is in the preamble of the claims with no further references in the claims so it is an intended use; thus, the claim limitations cover every application under the sun that uses this mathematical formula. Since the claims cover every substantial application under the sun utilizing this mathematical formula; therefore, therefore, these claims pre-empt a 101 judicial exception and are rejected as being non-statutory.

Response to Amendment

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 3-60 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant traverses the previous objections to the drawings. The examiner respectively disagrees with the applicant argument that Figures 3A, 3B,4A, 4B, & 4C do not need labels. In response to the applicant arguments, applicant attention is directed to MPEP § 608.02(e) Examiner Determines Completeness and Consistency of Drawings. Thus, it is clear in accordance with MPEP which states that the "examiner", <NOT the applicant>, determines the completeness and consistency of drawings. In this case, examiner has determined that the drawings are incomplete.

The applicant attention is also directed to 37 § C.F.R 1.84 [5(0)] which states "Suitable descriptive legends may be used subject to approval by the Office, or may be required by the examiner where necessary for understanding of the drawing. They should contain as few words as possible." In this case, examiner is clearly requiring the applicant to include "suitable descriptive legends" that is necessary for the understanding for the drawings since the drawings are incomplete.

Moreover, the examiner is requiring this application to be in the best presentable and complete form for the public including patent community, who will appreciate the completeness of this application once published or issued. Examiner wonders why the applicant is arguing or traversing the drawing objections since by traversing the objection will remain the application in an incomplete or un-presentable form.

Therefore, the objections to the drawings stand objected as set forth previous and above.

The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance

Conclusion

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert W. Wilson whose telephone number is 571/272-3075. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00-4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chau T. Nguyen can be reached on 571/272-3126. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/975,556

Art Unit: 2661

.

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Robert W Wilson

Examiner
Art Unit 2661

RWW 12/14/05

BOB PHUNKULH
PRIMARY EXAMINER